CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that Dr. Abhishek Kandwal in the department of Oncological Sciences of this University has fulfilled the requirements prescribed for the Ph.D. degree of the Swami Rama Himalayan University, Dehradun.

The thesis entitled, "Comparison of two fluoride application regime in oral cancer patients; A randomized controlled trial." was carried out under my direct supervision. No part of the thesis was submitted for the award of any degree or diploma prior to this date.

Clearance was obtained from the University Ethics Committee for carrying out the study.

Prof Sunil Saini Director

Cancer Research Institute

Prof (Dr.) Sunil K. Saini

Supervisor

CERTIFICATE FOR PLAGIARISM

It is certified that Ph.D. thesis entitled "Comparison of two fluoride application regime in oral cancer patients; A randomized controlled trial." by Dr. Abhishek Kandwal has been examined by us. We undertake & declare that:

- a. This thesis has significant new work/knowledge as compared to already published or are under consideration to be published elsewhere. No sentence, equation, diagram, table, paragraph or section has been copied verbatim from previous work unless it is placed under quotation marks and duly referenced.
- b. The work presented is original and own work of the author (i.e. there is no plagiarism). No ideas, processes, results or words of others have been presented as Author's own work.
- c. There is no fabrication of data or results, which have been compiled/analyzed.
- d. There is no falsification by manipulating research materials, equipment or processes, or changing or omitting data or results such that the research is not accurately represented in the research record

e. The thesis has been checked using TURNITIN (copy of originality report attached).

Dr. Abhishek Kandwal

Prof (Dr.) Sunil K. Saini

Director
Cancer Research Institute
SRHU, Dehradun

Comparison of Two Fluoride Application Regime in Oral Cancer Patients; A Randomized Controlled Trial.

by Abhishek Kandwal

Submission date: 18-May-2022 09:06AM (UTC+0530)

Submission ID: 1838823666

File name: chapter_only_thesis_for_print_15may22.pdf (1.14M)

Word count: 29534 Character count: 147094

> Prof Sumi Sami Director Cancer Research Institute SRHU, Dehradun

Comparison of Two Fluoride Application Regime in Oral Cancer Patients; A Randomized Controlled Trial.

TO%	7% INTERNET SOURCES	7% PUBLICATIONS	1% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY SOURCES			
Ahmad, Divya R 'Suppor Model f Patients	ek Kandwal, Suni Vipul Nautiyal, I aj, Ueno Takao. tive Oral Care Pr or Care in Head at Tertiary Can ournal of Surgica	Manisa Pattan "Validation of rotocol' (SOCP and Neck Car cer Centre in I	nayak, a Novel), a ncer India",
2 Submitt Student Pape	ed to University	of Wollongon	g 1 _%
3 pubmed	l.ncbi.nlm.nih.gc)V	<1%
4 Cora.uc			<1%
5 reposito	ry-tnmgrmu.ac.	in	<1%
6 WWW.CO	mmunityhealthjo	ournal.org	<1 %
du Slidelege	Soften	f Sunil Saini Director Research Institute	Officer Incharge Research & Ph.D. Cell Swami Rama Himalayan Univer Swami Ram Nagar, Doiwala

7	Internet Source	<1%
8	Head and Neck Cancer, 2011.	<1%
9	www.ijpediatrics.com	<1%
10	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	<1%
11	www.science.gov Internet Source	<1%
12	worldwidescience.org	<1%
13	allie.dbcls.jp Internet Source	<1%
14	livrepository.liverpool.ac.uk	<1%
15	Eija Rosti-Otajärvi, Anu Mäntynen, Keijo Koivisto, Heini Huhtala, Päivi Hämäläinen. "Neuropsychological rehabilitation has beneficial effects on perceived cognitive deficits in multiple sclerosis during nine- month follow-up", Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 2013	<1%

www.scielo.br

Prof Sunil Saint Director Cancer Research Institutu SRHU, Dehradun

		<1%
17	"Contemporary Oral Medicine", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019 Publication	<1%
18	www.nice.org.uk Internet Source	<1%
19	mfch.cz Internet Source	<1%
20	bmcoralhealth.biomedcentral.com	<1%
21	www.ijss-sn.com Internet Source	<1%
22	apps.WHO.int Internet Source	<1%
23	link.springer.com	<1%
24	"Head and Neck Cancer", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2016	<1%
25	archive.org	<1%
26	www.jpda.com.pk Internet Source	<1%
andu 2	Cancer Research Institute	Officer Incharge Research & Ph.D. Cell vami Rama Himalayan Unive

27	Monal Yuwanati, Shailesh Gondivkar, Sachin G Sarode, Amol Gadbail et al. "Oral health- related quality of life in oral cancer patients: systematic review and meta-analysis", Future Oncology, 2021	< I %
28	www.jda.or.jp Internet Source	<1%
29	bmjopen.bmj.com Internet Source	<1%
30	Judit Nagy. "Quality of life of head and neck cancer patients after tumor treatment and subsequent maxillofacial rehabilitation", University of Szeged, 2012	<1%
31	unpan1.un.org	<1%
32	"DEVELOPING STATE POLICIES SUPPORTIVE OF BIOENERGY DEVELOPMENT", 'Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI)' Internet Source	<1%
33	discovery.ucl.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
34	espace.library.uq.edu.au Internet Source	<1%
Madw	Director	Officer Incharge esearch & Ph.D. Cell Rama Himalayan University mi Ram Nagar, Doiwala

35	Internet Source	<1%
36	neurologyindia.com Internet Source	<1%
37	pureadmin.qub.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
38	scholarscompass.vcu.edu Internet Source	<1%
39	sdsjournal.com Internet Source	<1%
40	nebula.wsimg.com Internet Source	<1%
41	www.adb.org Internet Source	<1%
42	www.capc.org Internet Source	<1%
43	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	<1%
44	Epstein, J.B "Effects of compliance with fluoride gel application on caries and caries risk in patients after radiation therapy for head and neck cancer", Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology, 199609	<1%
Indu	Prof. Sunil Saini Resear Director Swami Rama Swami Rama Swami Rama	cer Incharge ch & Ph.D. Cell Himalayan Universit am Nagar, Doiwala radun-248016

E		
45	Submitted to University of the Western Cape Student Paper	<1%
46	docplayer.net Internet Source	<1%
47	edoc.ub.uni-muenchen.de Internet Source	<1%
48	journals.lww.com Internet Source	<1%
49	rd.springer.com Internet Source	<1%
50	www.indianjcancer.com Internet Source	<1%
51	www.lenus.ie Internet Source	<1%
52	Kanchan P Dholam, Priyanka Somani, Jinesh Dugad, Pankaj Kharade, Pallavi P Rane, Jai P Agarwal, Gurkaran Preet Singh. "Maximum incisal opening, caries experience and health-related quality of life in patients undergoing treatment for head and neck cancer – A longitudinal cohort study.", Research Square Platform LLC, 2021	<1%
53	coek.info Internet Source	<1%
and and	Director Research Institute Cancer Research Institute Cancer Research Institute Swami Ran Swami	ficer Incharge arch & Ph.D. Cell na Himalayan Unive Ram Nagar, Doiwal shradun-248016

54	dced.state.ak.us Internet Source	<1%
55	doczz.net Internet Source	<1%
56	krishikosh.egranth.ac.in	<1%
57	onlinelibrary.wiley.com	<1%
58	www.medicinaoral.com Internet Source	<1%
59	www.nature.com Internet Source	<1%
60	www.rug.nl Internet Source	<1%
61	www.sparrho.com Internet Source	<1%
62	Kristina Bertl, Stefanie Loidl, Ulana Kotowski, Gregor Heiduschka et al. "Oral health status and dental care behaviours of head and neck cancer patients: a cross-sectional study in an Austrian tertiary hospital", Clinical Oral Investigations, 2015	<1%

Model

Prof Sunil Saini
Director
Cancer Research Institute
SRHU, Dehradun

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

< 14 words

Exclude bibliography On

Horder

Prof Suni Saini
Director
Cancer Research Institute
SRHU, Dehradun

LIST OF CHARTS, FIGURES & TABLES

A. List of Charts

S.No	Table Topic	Page
1.	Chart 1: Intervention division flow chart	32
2.	Chart 2: CONSORT Flow diagram for randomized controlled trial, patient enrollment, follow-up and analysis details.	37

B. List of Tables

S.No.	Table Title	Page
1.	Table 1: Mean \pm S.D, lower and upper bound for Age in years, for the participants at baseline.	43
2.	Table 2: Baseline data for smoking, tobacco & alcohol consumption, of the participants for frequency and percentage distribution.	46
3.	Table 3: Baseline data for brushing aid and brushing frequency of the participants for frequency and percentage distribution.	47
4.	Table 4: Frequency and percentage details of Radiation dose and chemotherapy of the participants at baseline.	49
5.	Table 5: Baseline data for Mucositis and Trismus for frequency and percentage.	51
6.	Table 6: Mean and standard deviation for Trismus in millimeters at baseline.	51
7.	Table 7: Extraction, Restoration and Root Canal Treatment related dental burden of the participants with frequency and percentage distribution during duration of study.	52
8.	Table 8: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean± standard deviation of DT scores for Monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	53
9.	Table 9: Post hoc analysis for Intra group comparison for DT scores for monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	54
10.	Table 10: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean± standard deviation of DT scores for Monthly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	55
11.	Table 11: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DT scores for AV at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	56
12.	Table 12: Median, Interquartile range(Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DT scores for quarterlyvarnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one	56

	year.	
13	Table 13: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DT scores for AV at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	57
14.	Table 14: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DT scores for quarterly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	58
15.	Table 15: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DT scores for AV group at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at<0.05.	58
16.	Table 16: Kruskal-Wallis test for intergroup comparison for DT scores at baseline, one month, six months and one year; based on allocation of intervention.	59
17	Table 17: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of FT scores for monthly varnish application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	62
18	Table 18: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for FT scores for monthly varnish group at baseline, one month, six months and one year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at < 0.05.	63
19	Table 19: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean ± standard deviation of FT scores for monthly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	64
20	Table 20: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for FT scores for monthly gel group at baseline, one month, six months and one year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at < 0.05.	65
21	Table 21: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of FT scores for quarterly varnish application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	66
22.	Table 22: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for FT scores for quarterly varnish group at baseline, one month, six months and one year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at < 0.05.	67
23	Table 23: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of FT scores for quarterly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	67
24	Table 24: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for FT scores for quarterly gel group at baseline, one month, six months and one year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at < 0.05.	68
25	Table 25: Kruskal-Wallis test for intergroup comparison for FT scores at base line, one month, six months and one year; based on allocation of intervention.	69
26	Table 26: Post hoc for Kruskal-Wallis showing pair wise comparison of AV,AG, BV,BG groups on the basis of allocation of intervention for FT scores.	69
27	Table 27: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DMFT scores for quarterly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one-year.	70
28	Table 28: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DMFT scores for monthly varnish group at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of	71

	intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at 0.05.	
29	Table 29: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean ± standard deviation of DMFT scores for monthly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	72
30	Table 30: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DMFT scores for monthly gel group at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p value Significant at 0.05.	73
31	Table 31: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DMFT scores for quarterly varnish application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	73
32	Table 32: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DMFT scores for quarterly varnish group at baseline, one month, six months and one year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at p< 0.05.	74
33	Table 33: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DMFT scores for quarterly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one-year.	75
34	Table 34: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DMFT scores for quarterly gel group at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at p< 0.05.	76
35	Table 35: Kruskal-Wallistest for intergroup comparison for DMFT scores at base line, one month, six months and one-year; based on allocation of intervention. P significant at <0.05.	76
36.	Table 36: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one year for monthly varnish group.	77
37	Table 37: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for OHIP Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for monthly varnish group, with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	78
38	Table 38: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for monthly gel group.	79
39.	Table 39: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for OHIP Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for monthly gel group, with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	80
40.	Table 40: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one year for quarterly varnish group.	80
41	Table 41: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for OHIP Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for quarterly varnish group, with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	81
42	Table 42: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for quarterly gel group.	82

43	Table 43: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for quarterly varnish group, with adjusted p values. Significant at<0.05.	83
44	Table 44: Kruskal-Wallis test for intergroup comparison for OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year; based on allocation of intervention.	83
45	Table 45: Spearman rank Correlation coefficient with p value for correlation of OHIP with DMFT, DT, MT FT at baseline as per AV,AG,BV, BG Groups significant at P value <0.05.	84
46	Table 46: Mean and standard deviation (SD), DF (Degree of freedom), independent t test value, P value, Mean difference, Lower and upper bound for insufficient mouth opening (IMO) and sufficient mouth opening(SMO) groups based on trismus at baseline for DMFT scores.	85
47	Table 47: Mean and standard deviation (SD), DF (Degree of freedom), independent t test value, P value, Mean difference, Lower and upper bound for insufficient mouth opening (IMO) and sufficient mouth opening (SMO) groups based on trismus at baseline for OHIP-14 scores.	86
48	Table 48: Level of agreement amongst dental experts and medical experts on 41 items of SCOP. A(Agree), AM(Agree with modification and DA(Do not agree).	87
49.	Table 49: Inter rater validity agreement (IVC) amongst Dental experts their respective kappa measure.	87
50.	Table 50: Inter rater validity agreement (IVC) amongst Medical experts their respective kappa measure.	88

C. List of Figures.

S.No	Figure Title	Page
1.	Figure 1: Frequency and percentage for Age group of the participants at baseline.	44
2.	Figure 2: Gender distribution frequency and percentage at baseline among participants.	44
3.	Figure 3: Frequency and percentage distribution for monthly income of the participants at baseline.	45
4.	Figure 4: Frequency and percentage distribution for years of education of the participants at baseline.	45
5.	Figure 5: Frequency and percentage for cancer site distribution amongst participants at baseline.	48
6.	Figure 6: Baseline data for Histopathology of the participants for frequency and percentage distribution.	48
7.	Figure 7: Baseline data for type of surgery received amongst the participants depicting frequency and percentage distribution.	50

8.	Figure 8: Frequency and percentage distribution of annual economic load after enrolling in supportive oral care protocol calculated at first year recall (SOCP).	52
9.	Figure 9: Median and Meanscores for DTscores of Monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	53
10.	Figure 10: Median and Meanscores for DTscores of Monthly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	55
11.	Figure 11: Median and Mean scores for DT scores of quarterly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year	56
12.	Figure 12: Median and Meanscores for DT scores of Quarterly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	58
13.	Figure 13: Median and Mean scores for FT scores of Monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	62
14.	Figure 14: Median and Mean scores for FT scores of Monthly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	64
15.	Figure 15: Median and Mean scores for FT scores of Quarterly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	66
16.	Figure 16: Median and Mean scores for FT scores of Quarterly gel application at baseline, one months, six months and one year.	68
17.	Figure 17: Median and Mean scores for DMFTscores of Monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	70
18.	Figure 18: Median and Mean scores for DMFTscores of Monthly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	72
19.	Figure 19: Median and Mean scores for DMFT scores of Quarterly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	73
20.	Figure 20: Median and Mean scores for DMFT scores of Quarterly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	75
21.	Figure 21: Median and Mean scores for OHIP-14 scores of Monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	77
22.	Figure 22: Median and Mean scores for OHIP-14 scores of Monthly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	79
23.	Figure 23: Median and Mean scores for OHIP-14 scores of Quarterly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	80
24.	Figure 24: Median and Mean scores for OHIP-14 scores of Quarterly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	82

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

S.no	Abbreviations	Full form
1	3DRT	Three Dimensional conformal Radiation Therapy
2	AAIR	Age Adjusted Incidence Rate
3	AG	A group Gel
4	APF	Acidulated Phosphate Fluoride
5	AV	A group Varnish
6	BAHNO	British Association of Head and Neck Oncologist
7	BG	B group Gel
8	BV	B group Varnish
9	CPP-ACP	Casein Phosphate Polypeptide-Amorphous Calcium Phosphate
10	CT-RT	Chemo-Radio Therapy.
11	DENT-A	Dental Evaluation and management
12	DMFT	Decayed Missed Filled Teeth
13	DT	Decayed Teeth
14	EORTC:	European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer quality
14	H& N 35	of life, Head and Neck 35 module.
15	Et al.	Et alia
16	Etc.	Et Cetera
17	FACT.H&NV 4.0	Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Head and Neck module
18	FT	Filled Teeth
19	GATS	Global Adult Tobacco Survey
20	GBD	Global Burden Disease study
21	GLOBOCAN	Global Cancer registry.
22	IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
23	IFRS	Intra oral Fluoride Releasing System
24	IGRT	Image Guided Radiation Therapy.
25	IMO	Insufficient Mouth opening
26	INHANCE	International Head And Neck Cancer Epidemiology consortium
27	INR	Indian Rupee
28	IQR	Inter quartile range
29	IVC	Inter rater Validity agreement Consensus
30	MDS	Million Death Study
31	mm.	Millimeters
32	MT	Missed Teeth

33	NACT	Neo Adjutant Chemotherapy
34	NCCN	National Comprehensive Cancer Network
35	NCRP	National Cancer Registry Program
36	NFHS	National Family and Health Survey
37	NNMS	National Non-communicable disease Monitoring Survey
38	OHIP-14	Oral Health Impact Profile -14
39	OHRQOL	Oral Health Related Quality Of Life
40	ORN	Osteo Radio Necrosis
41	PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses
42	QOL	Quality Of Life
43	QOL-OC	Oral cancer Quality Of life questionnaire
44	RT	Radiotherapy
45	SD	Standard Deviation
46	SMO	Sufficient Mouth opening
47	SOCP	Supportive Oral Care Protocol
48	UWQOL	University of Washington quality Of Life
49	WHO	World Health Organization
50	Yrs.	Years

LIST OF CHARTS, FIGURES & TABLES

A. List of Charts

S.No	Table Topic	Page
1.	Chart 1: Intervention division flow chart	32
2.	Chart 2: CONSORT Flow diagram for randomized controlled trial, patient enrollment, follow-up and analysis details.	37

B. List of Tables

S.No.	Table Title	Page
1.	Table 1: Mean \pm S.D, lower and upper bound for Age in years, for the participants at baseline.	43
2.	Table 2: Baseline data for smoking, tobacco & alcohol consumption, of the participants for frequency and percentage distribution.	46
3.	Table 3: Baseline data for brushing aid and brushing frequency of the participants for frequency and percentage distribution.	47
4.	Table 4: Frequency and percentage details of Radiation dose and chemotherapy of the participants at baseline.	49
5.	Table 5: Baseline data for Mucositis and Trismus for frequency and percentage.	51
6.	Table 6: Mean and standard deviation for Trismus in millimeters at baseline.	51
7.	Table 7: Extraction, Restoration and Root Canal Treatment related dental burden of the participants with frequency and percentage distribution during duration of study.	52
8.	Table 8: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean± standard deviation of DT scores for Monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	53
9.	Table 9: Post hoc analysis for Intra group comparison for DT scores for monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	54
10.	Table 10: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean± standard deviation of DT scores for Monthly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	55
11.	Table 11: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DT scores for AV at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	56
12.	Table 12: Median, Interquartile range(Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DT scores for quarterlyvarnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one	56

	year.	
13	Table 13: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DT scores for AV at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	57
14.	Table 14: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DT scores for quarterly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	58
15.	Table 15: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DT scores for AV group at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at<0.05.	58
16.	Table 16: Kruskal-Wallis test for intergroup comparison for DT scores at baseline, one month, six months and one year; based on allocation of intervention.	59
17	Table 17: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of FT scores for monthly varnish application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	62
18	Table 18: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for FT scores for monthly varnish group at baseline, one month, six months and one year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at < 0.05.	63
19	Table 19: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean ± standard deviation of FT scores for monthly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	64
20	Table 20: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for FT scores for monthly gel group at baseline, one month, six months and one year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at < 0.05.	65
21	Table 21: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of FT scores for quarterly varnish application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	66
22.	Table 22: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for FT scores for quarterly varnish group at baseline, one month, six months and one year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at < 0.05.	67
23	Table 23: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of FT scores for quarterly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	67
24	Table 24: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for FT scores for quarterly gel group at baseline, one month, six months and one year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at < 0.05.	68
25	Table 25: Kruskal-Wallis test for intergroup comparison for FT scores at base line, one month, six months and one year; based on allocation of intervention.	69
26	Table 26: Post hoc for Kruskal-Wallis showing pair wise comparison of AV,AG, BV,BG groups on the basis of allocation of intervention for FT scores.	69
27	Table 27: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DMFT scores for quarterly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one-year.	70
28	Table 28: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DMFT scores for monthly varnish group at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of	71

	intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at 0.05.	
29	Table 29: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DMFT scores for monthly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	72
30	Table 30: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DMFT scores for monthly gel group at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p value Significant at 0.05.	73
31	Table 31: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DMFT scores for quarterly varnish application at base line, one month, six months and one year.	73
32	Table 32: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DMFT scores for quarterly varnish group at baseline, one month, six months and one year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at p< 0.05.	74
33	Table 33: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of DMFT scores for quarterly gel application at base line, one month, six months and one-year.	75
34	Table 34: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for DMFT scores for quarterly gel group at baseline, one month, six months and one-year as per allocation of intervention, with adjusted p values. Significant at p< 0.05.	76
35	Table 35: Kruskal-Wallistest for intergroup comparison for DMFT scores at base line, one month, six months and one-year; based on allocation of intervention. P significant at <0.05.	76
36.	Table 36: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean ± standard deviation of OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one year for monthly varnish group.	77
37	Table 37: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for OHIP Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for monthly varnish group, with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	78
38	Table 38: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean ± standard deviation of OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for monthly gel group.	79
39.	Table 39: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for OHIP Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for monthly gel group, with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	80
40.	Table 40: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean ± standard deviation of OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one year for quarterly varnish group.	80
41	Table 41: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for OHIP Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for quarterly varnish group, with adjusted p values. Significant at <0.05.	81
42	Table 42: Median, Interquartile range (Q1-Q3) and Mean \pm standard deviation of OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for quarterly gel group.	82

43	Table 43: Post hoc analysis for intra group comparison for OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year for quarterly varnish group, with adjusted p values. Significant at<0.05.	83
44	Table 44: Kruskal-Wallis test for intergroup comparison for OHIP-14 Total scores at baseline, one month, six months and one-year; based on allocation of intervention.	83
45	Table 45: Spearman rank Correlation coefficient with p value for correlation of OHIP with DMFT, DT, MT FT at baseline as per AV,AG,BV, BG Groups significant at P value <0.05.	84
46	Table 46: Mean and standard deviation (SD), DF (Degree of freedom), independent t test value, P value, Mean difference, Lower and upper bound for insufficient mouth opening (IMO) and sufficient mouth opening(SMO) groups based on trismus at baseline for DMFT scores.	85
47	Table 47: Mean and standard deviation (SD), DF (Degree of freedom), independent t test value, P value, Mean difference, Lower and upper bound for insufficient mouth opening (IMO) and sufficient mouth opening (SMO) groups based on trismus at baseline for OHIP-14 scores.	86
48	Table 48: Level of agreement amongst dental experts and medical experts on 41 items of SCOP. A(Agree), AM(Agree with modification and DA(Do not agree).	87
49.	Table 49: Inter rater validity agreement (IVC) amongst Dental experts their respective kappa measure.	87
50.	Table 50: Inter rater validity agreement (IVC) amongst Medical experts their respective kappa measure.	88

C. List of Figures.

S.No	Figure Title	Page
1.	Figure 1: Frequency and percentage for Age group of the participants at baseline.	44
2.	Figure 2: Gender distribution frequency and percentage at baseline among participants.	44
3.	Figure 3: Frequency and percentage distribution for monthly income of the participants at baseline.	45
4.	Figure 4: Frequency and percentage distribution for years of education of the participants at baseline.	45
5.	Figure 5: Frequency and percentage for cancer site distribution amongst participants at baseline.	48
6.	Figure 6: Baseline data for Histopathology of the participants for frequency and percentage distribution.	48
7.	Figure 7: Baseline data for type of surgery received amongst the participants depicting frequency and percentage distribution.	50

8.	Figure 8: Frequency and percentage distribution of annual economic load after enrolling in supportive oral care protocol calculated at first year recall (SOCP).	52
9.	Figure 9: Median and Meanscores for DTscores of Monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	53
10.	Figure 10: Median and Meanscores for DTscores of Monthly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	55
11.	Figure 11: Median and Mean scores for DT scores of quarterly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year	56
12.	Figure 12: Median and Meanscores for DT scores of Quarterly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	58
13.	Figure 13: Median and Mean scores for FT scores of Monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	62
14.	Figure 14: Median and Mean scores for FT scores of Monthly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	64
15.	Figure 15: Median and Mean scores for FT scores of Quarterly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	66
16.	Figure 16: Median and Mean scores for FT scores of Quarterly gel application at baseline, one months, six months and one year.	68
17.	Figure 17: Median and Mean scores for DMFTscores of Monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	70
18.	Figure 18: Median and Mean scores for DMFTscores of Monthly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	72
19.	Figure 19: Median and Mean scores for DMFT scores of Quarterly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	73
20.	Figure 20: Median and Mean scores for DMFT scores of Quarterly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	75
21.	Figure 21: Median and Mean scores for OHIP-14 scores of Monthly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	77
22.	Figure 22: Median and Mean scores for OHIP-14 scores of Monthly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	79
23.	Figure 23: Median and Mean scores for OHIP-14 scores of Quarterly varnish application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	80
24.	Figure 24: Median and Mean scores for OHIP-14 scores of Quarterly gel application at baseline, one month, six months and one year.	82

UNDERTAKING FOR SUBMISSION OF Ph.D. THESIS

I solemnly declare that research work presented in the thesis titled "Comparison of two fluoride application regime in oral cancer patients; A randomized controlled trial." is solely my research work with no significant contribution from any other person. Small contribution/help wherever taken has been duly acknowledged and that complete thesis has been written by me.

I understand the zero tolerance policy of the SWAMI RAMA HIMALAYAN UNIVERSITY (SRHU) towards plagiarism. Therefore I as an Author of the above titled thesis declare that no portion of my thesis has been plagiarized and any material used as reference is properly referred/cited.

I undertake that material of the thesis submitted in hard bound and soft copy are same and no alteration has been done. If found guilty in future University may take any action against me.

I undertake that if I am found guilty of any formal plagiarism in the above titled thesis even after award of PhD degree, the University reserves the rights to withdraw/revoke my PhD degree

Dr. Abhishek Kandwal Roll No: SRHU19000001 Department of Dental Surgery, Cancer Research Institute, Himalayan Institute Of Medical Sciences, Swami Rama Himalayan University, 248001, Dehradun Uttarakhand, India.

CERTIFICATE OF VIVA-VOCE OF Ph.D. SCHOLAR

"COMPARISON OF TWO FLUORIDE APPLICATION REGIME IN ORAL CANCER PATIENTS; A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL"

Submitted by

Dr. Abhishek Kandwal

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in

Oncological Sciences is evaluated and approved by

Prof (Dr.) Sunil K. Saini SUPERVISOR

EXAMINER



' वक्रतुण्ड महाकाय सूर्यकोटि समप्रभ । निर्विघ्नं कुरु मे देव सर्वकार्येषु सर्वदा ॥'

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

With a humble heart and devotion, I bow to almighty 'Ganesh' who has blessed me with opportunities and resources to succeed in life.

I am delighted to express my sincere gratitude to our Vice-chancellor; Dr. Vijay Dhasmana, Pro-Vice-Chancellor; Prof. (Dr.) Vijender Chauhan and Dean; Prof. (Dr.) Mushtaq Ahmad for providing me with an excellent conducive environment for research at our university.

It's with great pride I express my sincerest acknowledgment to my mentor and guide Prof.(Dr.) Sunil Kumar Saini. His humble nature and vast clinical expertise have taught me a lot. He has guided me not only in the completion of this research but always provided support, encouragement, and opportunities for excelling in academic and clinical areas.

I am very grateful to Prof. (Dr.) Vipul Nautiyal for his enormous support in the conduction of this study. He was instrumental in enrollment and patient referral for our trial. I would like to thank my seniors and colleagues at the Cancer Research Institute; Prof.(Dr.) S.K Verma, Prof.(Dr.) Neena Chauhan, Prof. (Dr.) Meenu Gupta,

Dr. Saurabh Bansal, Dr. Akash Gand, Dr. Kunal Das, Dr. Anshika Arora, Dr. Vinay Kumar and Dr. Manisa Pattnayak. They have always supported me to work effectively as a part of the multidisciplinary team for cancer patient care.

I would like to express my gratitude to my whole Department of Dental Surgery including; Dr. Saba Jamil, Dr. Sanjeeva Kumar, Dr. Nidhi Kundra, Mr. Basu Dev Bhist, Ms. Beena Saklani, Mr. Amit Gusain, Mr. Sudhir, Ms. Anita Rawat and Mr. Ritesh Rawat who have given me all of their support for patient care and conduction of my research. I would also like to thank specially Prof. (Dr.) Ruchi Juyal, Prof. (Dr.) Parul Jindal, Prof. (Dr.) Vinita Kalra and Prof. (Dr.) D. C Dhasmana for their support and guidance in my research work.

I would like to express my gratitude to my parents; Dr. S.P Kandwal & Mrs. Bhuvaneshwari Kandwal and My in-laws; Mr. G.K Upadhyay & Mrs. Savita Upadhyay for proving their blessing, love, and support. My lovely elder sisters Anjali, Divya, and Pooja have provided a constant source of inspiration and motivation to complete this humongous task. My brothers-in-law, Mr. Sudhanshu Nautiyal, Mr. Mahesh Gairola, and Mr. Neeraj Jakhmola have always encouraged me. My nephews & nieces; Utkarsh, Anucana, Istuti, Aarna, and Shivanshi's smiling faces and love were very heartwarming. Special gratitude to my sister-in-law, Dr. Jagriti Bhardwaj who was there at the eleventh hour and helped in the compilation of this research.

I would like to thank my wife Dr. Jigyasa Bhardwaj for her unconditional support and love during every walk of my life. Words fall short of expressing my

love for my son Aarnik who gave up his share of time and facilitated me to complete my work. His cuddles and smiles have been a stress buster throughout this period.

Last but not least I wish to express my deepest gratitude to all my patients, without whom this work would not be possible.

Title: "Comparison of two fluoride application regime in oral cancer patients; A Randomized controlled trial."

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Oral cancer ranks first among males and second overall in the Indian population as per GLOBOCAN 2020. In these patients Supportive oral care lack as an integrated part of cancer care. The most prevalent side effect of chemoradiotherapy (CT-RT) is Radiation caries and their sequel. This results in increased dental disease burden and poor oral health-related quality of life scores (OHRQOL).

Aim: Present Randomized controlled trial was conducted to evaluate the effect of fluoride on dental disease burden in terms of DMFT scores in patients who have received CT-RT in the region of the oral cavity. Oral health-related quality of life in terms of OHIP-14 scores was also assessed.

Materials& Methods: 111 patients participated in the randomized controlled trial as per the CONSORT statement. Two regimens, Monthly, and quarterly application were further divided into varnish and gel subgroups. This resulted in a total of four groups including monthly varnish application (AV), monthly gel application (AG), quarterly varnish application (BV), and quarterly gel application (BG). These were analyzed for DMFT and OHIP-14 scores at baseline, one month, six months, and one-year recall post chemo-radiotherapy (CT-RT).

Results: Median and Interquartile range of DMFT scores for the AV group were 2(0.25-6), 2(1-6), 3(1-7.7), and 4(1-9) at baseline, one month, six months and one

year recall respectively. For the AG group, it was 2(1-5), 2(1-5), 4(1-8), and 5(1-8) respectively. For BV Group it was 3(1-4), 3(1-4), 3(2-6), and 4(2-6) respectively, while for BG Group it was 2(0-4), 2(0-4), 391.5-4.5) and 4(2-5) respectively for four recall points. These values were highly significant P<0.001.

OHIP-14 score's Median and Interquartile range at baseline, one month, six months, and one year recall were 14(11-25.7), 35(28.2-40), 5.5(0-6) respectively. For AG group it was 13(2-24), 29(26-36), 6(0-12) and 0(0-6) respectively. For BV group it was 15(10-24), 34(27-46), 3(0-14) and 0(0-7) respectively. While For BG it was 11(5-18.5) 29(23.5-40), 3(0-11) and 0(0-6.5) respectively. These values were highly significant with P<0.001.

Intergroup comparison was not significant for DMFT and OHIP-14 scores for four intervention groups. OHIP-14 score's Mean and standard deviation values for sufficient mouth opening and insufficient mouth opening were 20.6 \pm 9.5 and 12.8 \pm 9.8 respectively, which was highly significant with p <0.001.

Conclusion: DMFT scores reported a significant and minimal constant increase in patients at one-year recall from baseline. Fluoride application was effective in controlling the DMFT scores at one-year recall in these patients. OHIP-14 scores significantly improved at recall visits from baseline by use of fluoride as part of the Supportive Oral Care Protocol (SOCP). Fluoride varnish and gel applied either monthly or quarterly provided similar changes in DMFT and OHIP-14 scores. Hence any combination of these can be considered effective in the care of these patients. Insufficient mouth opening drastically reduces oral health-related quality of life scores in these patients and hence they required more care.

Clinical Recommendation: Fluoride application as per supportive oral care protocol (SOCP) is recommended as an integral part of the oral care needs of head and neck cancer patients including oral carcinoma. Monthly topical fluoride application during the first year post CT-RT and thereafter-quarterly application lifelong is advised. Involvement of a Dental supportive care expert from the point of diagnosis of cancer is needed. A Dental expert as a part of the multidisciplinary team for cancer care of head and neck cancer patients is recommended.

Keywords: Oral Carcinoma, Head and Neck Cancer, Fluoride Gel, Fluoride Varnish, Supportive Oral Care Protocol, Dental Supportive Care Expert, Oral Health-Related Quality Of Life, DMFT, OHIP-14.